

The Man of Sin

THE ANTICHRIST The Man of Sin and the Temple of God

AUTHOR:-MIKE FORFAR

Having an understanding of the work and nature of the Antichrist is so important, that God inspired the Prophet Daniel in the Old Testament, and the Apostle's Paul and John in New Testament, to write about it at length. A study of the writings of these three men of God gives an overall picture and a clear understanding of the Antichrist so that no one need be ignorant of its identity and purpose. An understanding of this subject unmasks the working of Satan so that the Christian is better prepared for the battle between good and evil. This study opens with a part of Paul's second letter to the Christian church at Thessalonica. Here Paul warns the church about the then soon to be revealed Antichrist whom he called the 'man of sin' and the 'son of perdition'.



2 Thessalonians 2:1-9 “Now brethren, concerning the [coming of our Lord Jesus Christ](#) and [our gathering together to Him](#), we ask you, (2) not to be soon shaken in mind or troubled, either by spirit or by word or by letter, as if from us, as though the day of Christ had come. (3) [Let no one deceive you by any means; for that day will not come unless the falling away comes first, and the man of sin is revealed](#), the son of perdition, (4) who opposes and exalts himself above all that is called God or that is worshipped, so that he sits as God in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God. (5) Do you not remember that when I was still with you I told you these things? (6) And [now you know what is restraining](#), that he may be revealed in his own time. (7) For the mystery of

lawlessness is already at work; only he who now restrains will do so until he is taken out of the way. (8) And then the lawless one will be revealed, whom the Lord will consume with the breath of His mouth and destroy with the brightness of His coming. (9) The coming of the lawless one is according to the working of Satan, with all power, signs, and lying wonders.” NKJV

The following is a commentary on 2 Thessalonians 2:1-9

2Thess 2:1 “Now brethren, concerning the [coming \[Greek: parousia\]](#) of our Lord Jesus Christ and [our gathering together to Him](#),”

Paul's opening comment refers to an event that he calls the '*parousia*' [Greek Word 3952], *the coming*, of the Lord Jesus Christ. He describes the event as our gathering together to Him. The word is translated '*coming*' which is a reference to the resurrection and rapture at the return of Christ. At the day of this resurrection/rapture all living Christians will be [gathered together to Him](#). This gathering at the rapture was clearly described in Paul's first letter to the Thessalonians.

1 Thess 4:15-17 “For this we say to you by the word of the Lord, that we who are alive and remain until the [coming \(Parousia\)](#) of the Lord will by no means precede those who are asleep [dead]. For the Lord Himself will descend from heaven with a [shout](#), with the [voice of the archangel](#), and with the [trumpet of God](#), and [the dead in Christ will rise first](#) [resurrection]. Then we who are alive and remain shall be [caught up](#) [raptured] together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air”. And thus we shall always be with the Lord.” NKJV

From this we can see that at the [coming \(parousia\)](#) of our Lord Jesus Christ all Christians both living and dead will be [“gathering together to Him”](#). Christ returns with a shout with the voice of the archangel and a loud trumpet that raises the dead from their graves. After the resurrection those who are alive at the return of Christ are raptured or translated with the resurrected dead to meet Christ in the air.

Notice that the dead are raised with the trumpet of God. Paul writes about the resurrection and the rapture in his letter to the Corinthian church. In it he says that not all of us will sleep/die. But all of us who are alive at the return of Christ will be made immortal along with those who are called out of their graves.

The Man of Sin

1 Corinthians 15:51-52 “I will tell you a mystery: We will not all sleep [die], but we will all be changed— 52 in a moment, in the blinking of an eye, **AT THE LAST TRUMPET**. For the trumpet will sound, and **THE DEAD WILL BE RAISED** imperishable, and we will be changed.” NASB

The resurrection and rapture occur at the last trumpet when Christ returns. No more trumpets are going to sound after this last one. Speaking of this event Jesus says:

Matthew 24:29-31 ““But immediately after the tribulation of those days the sun will be darkened, and the moon will not give its light, and the stars will fall from the sky, and the powers of the heavens will be shaken. 30 And then the sign of the Son of Man will appear in the sky, and then all the tribes of the earth will mourn, and they will see the SON OF MAN COMING ON THE CLOUDS OF THE SKY with power and great glory. 31 And He will send forth His angels with A GREAT TRUMPET and THEY WILL GATHER TOGETHER His elect from the four winds, from one end of the sky to the other.” NASB

In describing his return to resurrect the dead and rapture the living Jesus specifically states that it is after the tribulation. He will appear with power and great glory and all the tribes of the earth will mourn when they see him. Then comes the blast of the great trumpet which Paul called the Last Trumpet. Then the resurrection and rapture will take place and our gathering together to him will happen. This is the gathering that Paul says in 2 Thess 2:1 comes after the great apostasy and the revealing of the man of sin.

2Thess 2:2 “**not to be soon shaken in mind or troubled, either by spirit or by word or by letter, as if from us, as though the day of Christ had come.**”

Paul refutes the false doctrine of Imminence : Imminence meaning that the return of Christ could take place at any moment. Paul tells the church not to be shaken in mind or troubled regarding nearness of the day of the Lord. They were not to be troubled or alarmed by reports that the day of the return of Jesus to resurrect the dead from their graves and rapture the living was almost here. It seems that the Thessalonians believed some errors regarding the day of Christ and they may have been taught these errors through false spirits, words or letters supposedly coming from Paul himself. The word translated ‘had come’ is “*enistemi*” [Greek Word 1764] and it means ‘to be close at hand’, ‘imminent’ or ‘impending’. Someone had taught them that the day of the Lord was imminent. The nearness of the day of Christ was keeping the Thessalonian Christians in a state of nervous excitement. Some had even given up work. So Paul writes to tell them, no, the day of Christ is not imminent. Certain events must take place first.

2Thess 2:3 “**Let no one deceive you by any means; for that day [the rapture] will not come unless the falling away (Gk. apostasia) comes first, and the man of sin is revealed, the son of perdition,**”

that day: The day being referred to here is the day of the Lord. *That day*, as Paul calls it is the parousia, the day when Jesus returns to resurrect the dead from their graves and to rapture the living to gather His people to himself. That day, the rapture, will not come until the falling away comes first, and the Antichrist/man of sin is revealed.

the falling away: The Greek word translated ‘the falling away’ is ‘*apostasia*’ [Greek Word 646] and it means “the apostasy” i.e., the falling away or a *departure from a previously held belief*. The apostasy foretold here is a massive theological departure that was to occur from within the Christian church. This apostasy would take place first and then the Antichrist – the man of sin the son of perdition would be revealed. Then after the revealing of antichrist the return of Christ will take place to resurrect the dead saints from their graves first and then rapture the living saints.

“The Thessalonians erroneously concluded that Christ’s coming lay in the immediate future, with resultant cessation of work, fanatical excitement, and disorder.” Paul’s answer in 2 Thessalonians 2 is a

The Man of Sin

refutation of such an imminency doctrine. The apocalyptic tribulation must come first, before the parousia and rapture.

...the term *apostasia* in the New Testament and in the Septuagint is used exclusively for religious defection, a departure from the faith. It never refers to the departure of the Church from the earth. In 2 Thessalonians 2:3 it is correctly translated as “the rebellion” in both the Revised Standard Version and the New International Version. The definite article “the” before “rebellion” points to a well-known apostasy about which Paul had informed the Thessalonians earlier (verse 5) and which he now explains more fully in the following verses, especially verses 4, 9 and 10. The apocalyptic apostasy, says Paul, will be a deliberate departure from the apostolic faith, a rebellion against God, led by the antichrist.” The Israel of God in Prophecy” Hans LaRondelle. Andrews University Press, Berrigan Springs Michigan, 1983 p203

The apostasy foretold here took place early in the history of the church as described by the Anglican clergyman H.Grattan Guinness.

“The history of the Christian church does not record a steady progress in the pathway of truth and holiness, an uninterrupted spread of the kingdom of God on earth. On the contrary, it tells the story of a tremendous apostasy. Even in the first century, as we learn from the New Testament, there set in a departure from the gospel, and a return to certain forms of ritualism, as among the Galatians. In the second and third centuries, anti-Christian doctrine and anti-Christian practices, sacramentarianism [a religious ceremony or act symbolising or conferring grace upon a believer] and sacerdotalism [the belief that the priest has the power to forgive sin and offer sacrifice in offering the Eucharist], invaded the church, and gradually climbed to a commanding position, which they never afterward abandoned. In the fourth century, with the fall of paganism, began a worldly, imperial Christianity, wholly unlike primitive apostolic Christianity, a sort of Christianised heathenism; and in the fifth and sixth centuries sprang up the Papacy, in whose career the apostasy culminated later on. - “Romanism and the Reformation,” H.Grattan Guinness, pp60, 61 London: J. Nisbet & Co., 1891.

During the early centuries with the mixture of Christianity and heathenism a lot of heathen practices and doctrines became accepted in the Christian church. These practices and beliefs still exist today.

The son of perdition - The only other place in the bible where this expression is used is in John 17:12 where Jesus Himself uses it to describe Judas Iscariot, the disciple who betrayed Him. Jesus was in prayer to the Father in the garden of Gethsemane and was talking to the Father about his disciples. He admitted that one of them was lost and referred to him as the son of perdition. He says:

John 17:12 *“While I was with them in the world, I kept them in Your name. Those whom You gave Me I have kept; and none of them is lost except the son of perdition, that the Scripture might be fulfilled.” NKJV*

This clue given by the Apostle Paul in 2 Thessalonians indicates that the Antichrist cannot be a pagan dictator like Nero or be a non-Christian Jew. But like Judas, the Antichrist the son of perdition, will be a professed follower of Christ while inwardly denying Him. He will be a false apostle, an insider like Judas, looking Christian on the outside while inwardly rejecting everything the Christian gospel stands for. A Judas – a secret enemy while a seeming friend – a familiar friend yet a fatal foe who betrays with a kiss and a “hail master!”

2Thess 2:4 “who opposes and exalts himself above all that is called God or that is worshipped, so that he sits as God in the temple of God, showing himself that he is God.”

Showing himself that he is God - Paul did not say that antichrist will walk into the temple and say: ‘I am God’. That would be too obvious. He claims such honors and such reverence as the true God would if he should appear in human form. He will show himself that he is God; by taking upon himself the titles of God, by usurping a power over the consciences and souls of men; by dispensing with the laws of God and man; by assuming to himself all power in heaven and in earth; by taking upon himself the power to open and shut the gates of heaven at pleasure; and by pardoning sin, which none but God can do; this is the Antichrist's mouth speaking blasphemies as mentioned in other bible prophecies about the Antichrist. ie Rev 13:5.

The Man of Sin

Temple of God – this is where the Antichrist is going to sit. It does not refer to a literal sitting down on a seat but is figurative referring to possession of authority. Such as when earthly kings come to power they are said to be occupying the throne. What and where is this temple? Three ideas have been put forward as to what this temple is

1. The Herodian temple in Jerusalem in the days of Jesus.
2. A future rebuilt temple in Modern Jerusalem
3. The Christian Church.

Twice during his earthly ministry Jesus threw out the money changers who were selling sacrificial animals for a large profit in the temple court area. Jesus overthrew the tables and chased these evil men out of the temple grounds saying “It is written: ‘AND MY HOUSE WILL BE A HOUSE OF PRAYER,’ but you have made it a DEN OF ROBBERS.” Luke 19:46. But a big change occurred just days before his crucifixion. Jesus looked at the temple and said to the Jewish leaders. “Behold, your house is being left to you desolate!” Matt 23:38. A major change had taken place. The Jerusalem temple was no longer the house of God. But rather it was left desolate by God and was now only the temple of the Jews. This meant that when Paul spoke about the “Temple of God” he clearly was not referring to any temple in Jerusalem in his day.

Quite a lot of Christians believe this temple spoken of by Paul is one that will be rebuilt in modern Jerusalem. The whole purpose of the Jewish temple was to worship God and to offer animal sacrifices for sin that were an act of faith in the redeemer to come. After the sacrifice of Christ the Old Covenant sacrifice of animals had lost their significance. The New Covenant sacrifice of Jesus had abolished forever the Old Covenant need to sacrifice animals. So if a group of Christ denying Jews did succeed in rebuilding a temple in Jerusalem and began to offer old covenant animal sacrifices it would be a denial of the sacrifice of Jesus. This temple would also have to be officiated by a priesthood but there is not a person alive who is able to trace their ancestry to the first Levitical High Priest - Aaron. This requirement was mandatory. After the Jews returned from exile in Ancient Babylon they rebuilt a new temple on the ruins of Solomons temple. When it came to dedicating the new priesthood only those men who could prove their ancestry to Aaron were allowed to be dedicated as priests. All others were rejected and considered unclean. When the new priesthood was being dedicated, the Old Testament book of Ezra records some priests who tried to prove their ancestry to Aaron but could not.

Ezra 2:61-62 “And from among the priests: The descendants of Hobaiah, Hakkoz and Barzillai... 62 These searched for their family records, but they could not find them and so were excluded from the priesthood as unclean.” NIV

If a new temple were to be rebuilt today in modern Jerusalem where are the priests going to come from? Because none of them are able to prove ancestry from Aaron all of them will be unclean. Therefore a rebuilt old covenant Christ denying temple officiated by unclean priests would not be called the “*temple of God*”. Certainly Paul’s use of the expression does not refer to any unclean Christ denying Old Covenant temple in Jerusalem.

The Greek word Paul uses for ‘*temple*’ is ‘*naos*’ and it refers to the inner shrine. It does not refer to the temple as a whole. The Antichrist “*sits in the temple of God*” might refer to the inner courts, but the reference is clearly to the ark of the covenant, which was the resting place of the shekinah glory of God that appeared between the cherubim. By sitting there, the man of sin puts himself in the place of God and most likely refers figuratively to sitting in authority over the temple. Also when Paul uses the word ‘*naos*’ he uses it to refer to the Christian church as a whole. Eg 1 Corinthians 3:16 “Do you not know that you are the temple [naos] of God and that the Spirit of God dwells within you.” 1 Corinthians 6:16 “...For you are the temple [naos] of the living God.”

There is no mention in the New Testament at all about an old covenant temple being rebuilt in Jerusalem for the worship of God. Even if such a temple were rebuilt it would not be the *temple of God*. The temple of God on earth is the Christian church. However there is a New Covenant temple building mentioned in the books of Revelation (Rev 11:19) and Hebrews (Heb 8:1-2). This New Covenant temple is always shown as being in heaven where Jesus Himself is the High Priest. The temple of God on earth where the Antichrist is to sit and wield power is the Christian church. Through this human antichrist, Satan is to gain access into the Christian church and from a seat or position of authority, make pronouncements over Christendom and hold world wide influence, his words and decrees being received as if from God himself.

2Thess 2:5 “Do you not remember that when I was still with you I told you these things?”

The Man of Sin

The apostle Paul had already told the Thessalonian church all these things. He was not giving them any information that they hadn't heard before. Paul obviously thought that it was a wise thing that the Christian church should have a clear understanding regarding the appearance and nature of the Antichrist for him to preach about it to them and to later write about it.

2Thess 2:6 “And now you know what is restraining, that he may be revealed in his own time.”

There was a power that was restraining the appearance of the Antichrist. Paul does not mention in his letter what that power is but his church already knew because he had previously told them. However it would appear that the restrainer is the Pagan Roman Empire. Jerome - one of the early church fathers has this to say about the retraining one: -

“He [Paul] shows that that which restrains is the Roman Empire; for unless it shall have been destroyed, and taken out of the midst, according to the prophet Daniel, Antichrist will not come before that. If he had chosen to say this openly, he would have foolishly aroused a frenzy of persecution against the Christians; and then against the growing church.”

*“ And now you know what restrains, that he might be revealed in his time; that is, you know very well that the reason why the Antichrist does not come immediately. Nor does he [Paul] wish to say openly that the Roman empire must be destroyed, because those who rule think [it] eternal... For if he had openly and boldly said, ‘Antichrist will not come unless the Roman empire is first destroyed,’ a just cause of persecution then would have seemed to arise in the early church.” *The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers, vol 1 p 444. Ed. L. E. Froom, Review and Herald, 1950**

What Jerome is saying is that Paul believed that the Pagan Roman empire was the restrainer and that this is what Paul had previously taught his converts. When the Roman Empire is destroyed and taken out of the way then the Antichrist will be free to manifest itself. Paul did not mention the restrainer by name because had he done so and his letter were to be read by the Roman authorities he and the Christian church would be seen as spreading sedition throughout the empire and thereby bringing persecution upon themselves. This understanding of the Ancient Roman Empire being the restrainer comes from the prophecy of the book of Daniel in chapter 7. The fourth beast in that prophecy -Pagan Rome- had to come to an end and then divide into the states of Europe symbolised by the 10 horns. The man of sin the little horn then arose from among them. The antichrist could not appear until the Ancient Roman Empire was gone.

Some commentaries insist that the restrainer of the antichrist is the Holy Spirit. They say that when the restraining power of the Holy Spirit is removed the antichrist will appear. This interpretation is nothing more than reading into the bible what isn't there. There is nothing in these verses that in anyway gives the idea that the holy spirit is intended. If Paul taught that the Holy Spirit was the restrainer then why didn't he just say so? He had nothing to hide. But he did have a lot to hide by not naming the Roman Empire as already mentioned.

2Thess 2:7-8 “For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work; only he who now restrains will do so until he is taken out of the way. (8) And then the lawless one will be revealed, whom the Lord will consume with the breath of His mouth and destroy with the brightness of His coming (parousia).”

Paul does not say that the antichrist was there in his day but that the mystery of lawlessness was at work that would lead to the antichrist reigning in the church. Once the restraining Roman Empire was removed the Antichrist was to be revealed. The Antichrist is called the mystery of lawlessness for it will attempt to change the law of God. According to the prophecy of Daniel chapter 7:25 the Antichrist is to think to change times and laws. The Antichrist will come to an end at the return of Christ, destroyed by the brightness of the Parousia at the day of the Lord when the resurrection and rapture take place. This means all the church will go through the great tribulation and the battle with the antichrist. It also means that the man of sin must reign in the church for a period of centuries. Therefore he *cannot be a single human being or just one man*. The man of sin cannot be Satan either because he is spoken of as being separate from him. He must either be a system, or a succession of human beings. The expression “the man of sin” is like the expression “the King” it refers to a succession of

The Man of Sin

individuals not just one man. “The Man of Sin is a dynasty that spans from the first century until the return of Christ.

2Thess 2:9 “The coming of the lawless one is according to the working of Satan, with all power, signs, and lying wonders.”

The coming of the antichrist foretold here by Paul seems to be the resurrection of the antichrist after what the apostle John in the Revelation describes as a healing of its deadly wound. The antichrist, after a long history of religious-political activity in Europe, is almost destroyed, and appears to lose all influence in human affairs. The antichrist is to fully recover from this deadly wound. This total recovery is to be accompanied by demonic miracles. The apostle John in the Revelation 13:13-14 talks about these satanic miracles that are performed by The Land Beast [False Prophet].

Revelation 13:3 “And I saw one of his heads as if it had been mortally wounded, and the deadly wound was healed. And all the world marvelled and followed the beast [antichrist].... *Revelation 13:13-14* “He [False Prophet] performs great signs, so that he even makes fire come down from heaven on earth in the sight of men”. And he deceives those who dwell on the earth by the signs he was granted to do in the sight of the beast [antichrist], telling those who dwell on the earth to make an image to the beast who was wounded by the sword and lived.”

The apostle John shows that the demonic deceptive miracles will occur with the antichrist's resurrection and will achieve a success beyond any prediction or expectation. In refusing the true gospel, people ‘will believe the lie which comes as a strong over-mastering delusion’ which is accompanied by miraculous wonders.

The order of events are:

1. The Great Apostasy
2. The Restrainer removed
3. The Appearance of the “Man of Sin”
4. The Great Tribulation
5. The Resurrection of the dead
6. The Blast of the last Trumpet
7. The Rapture of the living to meet Christ in the air

Overview of Paul’s “man of sin”

1. The appearance of the “man of sin” from within the church and the great apostasy are to take place before the return of Christ for His people.
2. He was beginning in Paul’s day but was being restrained by the Pagan Roman Empire.
3. He was revealed once the restraining Roman Empire was removed in the fifth century.
4. He was revealed just after the time of the great apostasy from within the church.
5. He is a false apostle who professes Christianity
6. He will exalt himself to the level of God Himself within the Christian church.
7. He is to reign for centuries within the Christian church only to be destroyed by the brightness of the ‘Parousia’ – the return of Christ for His people.

According to the Westminster Confession:- There is no other head of the church but the Lord Jesus Christ; nor can the Pope of Rome in any sense be head thereof, but is that Antichrist, that man of sin, and son of perdition, that exalteth himself in the church against Christ and all that is called God. —*Westminster Confession, chap 25; cited in “A History of Creeds and Confessions of Faith,” William A. Curtis, p 272. New York: Charles Scriber’s Sons, 1912.*

“We are convinced that the Papacy is the seat of the true and real Antichrist”. *Martin Luther. 16th Century German Augustinian Monk and founder of the Lutheran church in Germany. (What Luther Says”, ed. Ewald M Plass, Vol.1, 34)*

The Man of Sin

[He is a false apostle.](#)

The antichrist as a false apostle within the Christian church is the one who falsely claims to be the successor of the apostle Peter.

[2 Thessalonians 2:4](#) *“sits as God in the Temple of God, showing Himself that he is God.”*

“The Pope is of so great dignity and so exalted that he is not a mere man, but as it were God, and the vicar of God...The Pope by reason of the excellence of his supreme dignity is called bishop of bishops... He is likewise bishop of the universal church. He is likewise the divine monarch and supreme emperor and king of kings. Hence the Pope is crowned with a Triple Crown, as being king of heaven, and of the earth and the lower regions. .” *Extract from Ferraris Ecclesiastical Encyclopedia RC printed in Rome 1890*

In the bible when we see visions of God the Father we see him seated in heaven on a great white throne with cherubim on either side.

Isaiah 37:16 “O LORD Almighty, God of Israel, enthroned between the cherubim, you alone are God over all the kingdoms of the earth. You have made heaven and earth.”

Revelation 20:11 “Then I saw a great white throne and him who sat on it, from whose face the earth and the heaven fled away.



Here we have a picture of Pope Benedict XVI seated on a white throne with Cherubim on either side. A clear fulfilment of Paul's prophecy that the man of sin would sit in the inner temple of God between the cherubim showing himself that he is God.

The Man of Sin



Beware of deception

A series of books and a video known as the “Left Behind” series, have been produced portraying last day events supposedly based on the prophecies found in the New Testament book of Revelation. The authors of the series are Tim LaHaye and Jerry Jenkins. These books are all based on the theology of Jesuit futurism. The main theme of the series is about a secret rapture where all true Christians suddenly vanish and are taken to heaven. Those not taken to heaven are ‘left behind’. After this rapture the Antichrist appears on the world scene and rules in Israel for 3 ½ years. What is disturbing about the series is that it is the very deception the Apostle Paul warns us about in 2Thess 2:1-9. Paul makes it clear that the Antichrist appears before Christ returns for his people.

False view as presented in the “Left Behind” series of books

Jesus returns _____ The Antichrist is revealed
For his people _____ and reigns in Israel for 3 ½ yrs.

The Apostle Paul in 2 Thess 2: 1-9

The Antichrist is revealed
And reigns for centuries from _____ Jesus returns for His people.
within the church

JESUIT FUTURISM - A ROMAN CATHOLIC JESUIT DECEPTION.

So great hold did the conviction that the papacy was the Antichrist gain upon the minds of men, that Rome at last saw she must bestir herself, and try, by putting forth other systems of interpretation, to counteract the identification of the papacy with the Antichrist. Accordingly, towards the close of the century of the reformation, two of her most learned doctors set themselves to task, each endeavouring by different means to accomplish the same end; namely, that of diverting men’s minds from perceiving the fulfilment of the prophecies of the Antichrist in the papal system. The Jesuit Alcazar devoted himself to bring into prominence the *Preterist* method of interpretation,... that the prophecies of Antichrist were fulfilled before the popes ever ruled at Rome, and therefore could not apply to the papacy. On the other hand the Jesuit Ribera tried to set aside the application of these prophecies to the papal power by bringing out the *Futurist* system, which asserts that these prophecies

The Man of Sin

refer properly not to the career of the papacy, but to that of some future supernatural individual, who is yet to appear, and to continue in power for three and a half years at the end of time. Thus, as Alford says, the Jesuit Ribera, about AD 1580, may be regarded as the founder of the Futurist system in modern times. "It is a matter of deep regret that those who hold and advocate the Futurist system at the present day, Protestants as they are for the most part, are really playing into the hands of Rome, and helping to screen the papacy from detection as the Antichrist. It has been well said that 'Futurism tends to obliterate the brand put by the Holy Spirit upon popery.' More especially is this to be deplored at a time when the papal Antichrist seems to make an expiring effort to regain his former hold on men's minds. Now once again, as at the Reformation, it is especially necessary that his true character should be recognised, by all who would be faithful to 'the testimony of Jesus.'" *"Daniel and the Revelation" pp 16-17 The Rev Joseph Tanner, 1898.*

"Roman Catholics as well as Protestants agree as to the origin of these interpretations. Thus the Roman Catholic writer G.S.Hitchcock says: "The Futuristic School, founded by the Jesuit Ribera in 1591, looks for Antichrist, Babylon, and a rebuilt temple in Jerusalem, at the end of the Christian Dispensation. "The Preterist School, founded by the Jesuit Alcasar in 1614, explains the Revelation by the Fall of Jerusalem, or by the fall of Pagan Rome in 410 A.D." *G.S.Hitchcock. Quoted in 'The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers vol 2 pp 487-488. Ed, L. E. Froom. Review and Herald 1948.*

Italian Cardinal Robert Bellarmine (1542-1621), (nephew of Pope Marcellus 11), and who was a contemporary of Ribera and a defender of the Roman church against Protestantism said this:-

"For all Catholics think thus that Antichrist will be one certain man; but all heretics [Protestants] teach ... that antichrist is expressly declared to be not a single person, but an individual throne or absolute kingdom, and apostate seat of those who rule over the church." *Cardinal Robert Bellarmine Quoted in 'The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers vol 2 p500. Ed, L. E. Froom. Review and Herald 1948.*

The gist of the Jesuit Ribera's Futurist theory is this:- "Ribera assigned the first few chapters of the Apocalypse to ancient Rome, in John's own time; the rest he restricted to a literal three and a half years' reign of a infidel Antichrist, who would bitterly oppose and blaspheme the saints just before the second advent. He taught that Antichrist would be a single individual, who would rebuild the temple in Jerusalem, abolish the Christian religion, deny Christ, be received by the Jews, pretend to be God, and conquer the world—and all in the brief space of three and one half literal years!" ... *"The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers vol 2 pp 489, Ed, L. E. Froom. Review and Herald 1948.*

Cardinal Robert Bellarmine (1542-1621), was one of the most learned men of his day. He advocated and taught the Jesuit Ribera's futurist interpretation to counteract the Protestant view that the Papacy is the Antichrist.

"He taught that the Antichrist would be one particular man, that he would be a Jew, that he would be preceded by the reappearance of a literal Enoch and Elias, that he would rebuild the Jewish temple at Jerusalem, compel circumcision, abolish the Christian sacraments, abolish every other form of religion, would manifestly and avowedly deny Christ, would assume to be Christ, and would be received by the Jews as their Messiah, would pretend to be God, would make a literal image speak, would feign himself dead and rise again, and would conquer the whole world—Christian, Mohammedan, and heathen; and all *in the space of three and a half years.*" *Romanism and the Reformation H.Gratten. Guinness. P.165 London: J. Nisbet & Co., 1891.*

"Thus in Ribera's commentary was laid the foundation for that great structure of Futurism, built upon and enlarged by those who followed, until it became the common Catholic position. And then, wonder of wonders, in the nineteenth century this Jesuit scheme of interpretation came to be adopted by a growing number of Protestants, until today Futurism, amplified and adorned with the rapture theory, has become the generally accepted belief of the Fundamentalist wing of popular Protestantism. Although Ribera launched the Futurist system of interpretation, it was popularised and made to register by the astute Cardinal Bellarmine..." *"The Prophetic Faith of our Fathers vol 2 pp 493. Ed, L. E. Froom. Review and Herald 1948.*

The "Left Behind" series of books by Jenkins and La Haye are sweeping the Christian world like a bushfire. It's important to understand that the underlying theology of these books is Jesuit futurism and was designed specifically by the Jesuit Ribera to destroy the protestant reformation, and bring all Christendom back to allegiance to the Pope of Rome. When one studies the theory of futurism and the left behind books it becomes obvious that the only thing left behind in them is the truth. They are teaching the very false doctrine of imminence that Paul warned us about in his second letter to the Thessalonians. A deception that says the Lord returns to rapture His church before the revealing of the man of sin.

The Man of Sin

When Christians trust in the teaching that they will be raptured to heaven before the persecution of antichrist, how will they be prepared for the coming final test of faith when they will be forced to receive the mark of the beast? The danger of pretribulationism, [the belief that the church is taken from the earth before the appearance of antichrist], is that it instills in the hearts of God's people a false hope and thus fails to prepare the Church for the final crisis when prophecy declares that whoever does not receive the mark of the beast shall be killed.

Those Christians who have been deceived into thinking that the church will be taken to heaven before the tribulation could end up receiving the mark of the beast themselves and will possibly end up enforcing the mark of the beast on the world thinking they are doing the will of God.

New King James Version®. Copyright © 1982 by Thomas Nelson. Used by permission. All rights reserved.

The NASB "Scripture quotations taken from the New American Standard Bible® (NASB), Copyright © 1960, 1962, 1963, 1968, 1971, 1972, 1973, 1975, 1977, 1995 by The Lockman Foundation Used by permission. www.Lockman.org"

Scriptures taken from the Holy Bible, New International Version®, NIV®. Copyright © 1973, 1978, 1984, 2011 by Biblica, Inc.™ Used by permission of Zondervan. All rights reserved worldwide. www.zondervan.com The “NIV” and “New International Version” are trademarks registered in the United States Patent and Trademark Office by Biblica, Inc.™

The Complete Word Study Dictionary. New Testament. Spiros Zodhiates, Th.D. 1992 AMG International, Inc. Chattanooga TN 37422, U.S.A.